SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with No Rental Production Activities



| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | Danville | , ۱ | VΑ |
|----------------------------------|----------|-----|----|
| | | | |

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$7,378,524

PJ Since (FY): 1994

| Category | PJ | Average | Rank* |
|--|----------|----------|-----------------|
| Program Progress: | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 94.22 % | 92.34 % | 14 / 33 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 90.20 % | 83.98 % | 9 / 33 |
| Leveraging Ratio | 0.06 | 1.97 | 29 / 30 |
| % Disbursements on Completed Activities to Commitments on All Activities** | 43.52% | 44.11% | 17 / 31 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations** | 92.82 % | 65.63 % | 2 / 25 |
| Оссирансу: | | | |
| % of Occupied Homebuyer Units to All Completed Homebuyer Units** | 100.00% | 99.80 % | 1 / 31 |
| Overall Ranking: | | | 4 / 33 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed U | nits: | | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$46,775 | \$19,538 | 82 Units 28.20 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$18,572 | \$17,037 | 209 Units 71.80 |
| | \$0 | \$3,111 | 0 Units 0.00 |

^{* -} The Rank compares the 33 local HOME PJs that do not participate in rental production activities. A rank of 1 is the highest; a rank of 33 is the lowes

^{** -} This cateogry is double-weighted in the Overall Ranking.

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Danville | | | V | A | | |
|--|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Total Development Costs: | | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner | CHDO Operating Expenses: | PJ: 2.0 % |
| (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects) | PJ: | \$0 | \$51,577 | \$18,871 | (% of allocation) | National Avg: 1.2 % |
| . , , | State:* | \$83,293 | \$109,008 | \$30,787 | | |
| | National:** | \$99,461 | \$78,568 | \$23,907 | R.S. Means Cost Index: 0 | .97 |

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner | TBRA | | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner | TBRA |
|--|--------|-----------|-----------|------|----------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|------|
| RACE: | % | % | % | % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | % | % | % | % |
| White: | 0.0 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 0.0 | 28.0 | 21.1 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 85.4 | 84.7 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 0.0 | 11.0 | 58.9 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 0.0 | 47.6 | 10.5 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 0.0 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 6.1 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL | ASSISTAN | CE: | | |
| 1 Person: | 0.0 | 19.5 | 50.2 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 0.0 | 14.6 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 0.0 | 26.8 | 33.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 0.0 | 36.6 | 6.7 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 0.0 | 13.4 | 6.2 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 0.0 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant | Units / Co | mpleted Un | its Since 200 | 1 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.